International Current Journal of Engineering and Science
Volume 5, Issue 10, pp 33-36, October 2026
ISSN (Online): 2583-7257
Article DOI: doi.org/10.47001/ICJES/2026.510004

A Deep Learning Based Framework for AI Assisted Judicial Decision Support through Legal Document Analysis

E. Venkatesan

Guest Lecturer, PG Department of Computer Science, RV Government Arts College, Chengalpattu, Tamil Nadu India
E-Mail: venkatelumalai12@yahoo.co.in

Abstract **- The increasing volume of digital court records has made judicial case analysis more complex and time-consuming. This study proposes an Artificial Intelligence (AI) assisted judicial decision support framework based on deep learning to support judges in analyzing legal documents efficiently. The proposed system assists in the analysis of criminal, civil, family, property, commercial, labour, cybercrime, banking, constitutional, consumer, environmental, medical negligence, motor accident, and other judicial cases. AI is designed solely as a decision support technology, while the final legal interpretation and judgment remain exclusively under the authority of the judge. The proposed framework consists of three stages: data preprocessing, deep learning-based analysis, and performance evaluation. During preprocessing, legal documents including case history, facts, petitioner and respondent evidence, witness statements, judicial notes, previous judgments, legal precedents, and statutory provisions are cleaned and processed using a Median Filter to improve data quality. The preprocessed data are analyzed using Artificial Neural Network (ANN) and Convolutional Neural Network (CNN) models to classify legal documents, retrieve relevant precedents, summarize evidence, identify applicable legal provisions, and generate structured judicial analysis reports. The performance of both models is evaluated using accuracy, precision, recall, F1 score, and processing time to determine the most effective approach.**

The proposed AI framework improves the efficiency and consistency of legal document analysis, reduces manual workload, and supports faster judicial case review. The generated analytical reports assist judges in understanding complex legal information while preserving judicial independence. The study demonstrates that deep learning can serve as an effective and reliable technology for intelligent judicial decision support without replacing the role of judges.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence, Deep Learning, Judicial Decision Support, Artificial Neural Network, Convolutional Neural Network, Median Filter, Legal Document Analysis, Precedent Analysis, Digital Courts.

I. INTRODUCTION

The administration of justice is one of the fundamental responsibilities of every democratic society. Courts are entrusted with protecting constitutional rights, resolving disputes, enforcing legal principles, and ensuring that justice is delivered fairly and impartially. However, the continuous growth in litigation, increasing complexity of legal disputes, and rapid expansion of digital legal records has placed significant pressure on judicial systems worldwide. Judges are required to examine large volumes of case files, including case histories, factual statements, witness testimonies, documentary evidence, legal arguments, statutory provisions, and previous judicial decisions before reaching a legally sound conclusion. This process is often time-consuming and demands extensive legal research and careful evaluation of evidence.

Many countries have adopted electronic court systems to improve judicial administration and case management. Although digital court records have enhanced document accessibility, judges and legal professionals must still manually review thousands of pages of legal documents during complex litigation. The increasing number of pending cases, particularly in civil, criminal, commercial, family, cybercrime, banking, constitutional, labour, environmental, consumer protection, and public interest litigation, highlights the need for intelligent technologies that can assist judicial officers in managing legal information more efficiently (Katz, Bommarito, & Blackman, 2017).

Artificial Intelligence (AI) has emerged as a transformative technology capable of supporting decision-making across multiple domains, including healthcare, finance, education, manufacturing, and public administration. In the legal sector, AI can assist in legal document classification, precedent retrieval, evidence organization, legal text summarization, and intelligent legal information retrieval. Rather than replacing judges, AI serves as a decision support technology that improves the efficiency of legal analysis by

© 2026 ICJES All Rights Reserved

International Current Journal of Engineering and Science
Volume 5, Issue 10, pp 33-36, October 2026
ISSN (Online): 2583-7257
Article DOI: doi.org/10.47001/ICJES/2026.510004

rapidly processing large collections of judicial documents and identifying legally relevant information (Russell & Norvig, 2021). Judicial discretion, legal interpretation, evaluation of evidence, and the delivery of final judgments remain exclusively under the authority of judges.

Public awareness of Artificial Intelligence in the justice system has increased considerably over recent years. Citizens increasingly expect judicial services to be transparent, timely, and accessible through digital technologies. AI-assisted legal systems can contribute to these expectations by reducing document review time, improving consistency in legal research, organizing complex evidence, and facilitating access to relevant precedents. However, maintaining transparency, fairness, accountability, privacy, and ethical compliance is essential to ensure that AI supports, rather than influences, judicial independence (European Commission for the Efficiency of Justice [CEPEJ], 2018).

Deep learning has demonstrated remarkable capabilities in recognizing complex patterns within unstructured textual information. Artificial Neural Networks (ANN) and Convolutional Neural Networks (CNN) have been successfully applied to document classification, text mining, natural language processing, and intelligent information retrieval. These techniques provide opportunities to automate legal document analysis by identifying relationships among case facts, statutory provisions, legal precedents, petitioner submissions, respondent submissions, and supporting evidence. Such analytical capabilities enable judges to review legal materials more efficiently while maintaining complete judicial control over legal reasoning and final decision-making.

Based on these considerations, this study proposes a deep learning-based Artificial Intelligence framework for judicial decision support through legal document analysis. The proposed methodology employs a Median Filter during preprocessing to improve data quality before implementing ANN and CNN models for legal document analysis. The framework processes case histories, factual records, petitioner and respondent evidence, judicial observations, legal precedents, statutory provisions, and supporting legal documents to generate structured analytical reports for judicial review. The performance of ANN and CNN is comparatively evaluated to identify the most effective deep learning model for legal document analysis. The proposed framework is intended solely as an intelligent decision support system that enhances judicial efficiency, legal research, and evidence organization while preserving judicial independence and ensuring that the final legal decision remains exclusively with the presiding judge. The remainder of this research is organized as follows. **Section 1** presents the **Introduction**. **Section 2** reviews the related literature and discusses previous studies. **Section 3** describes the proposed methodology, including data preprocessing, deep learning models, and the overall research framework. **Section 4** presents the experimental results and discussion. **Section 5** concludes the study by summarizing the major findings, contributions, limitations, and future research directions. Finally, **Section 6** provides the references cited throughout the paper.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

In this literature review focuses in the application of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in the legal domain has attracted considerable attention due to the rapid growth of digital court records and the increasing complexity of judicial proceedings. Modern judicial systems generate large volumes of legal documents, including case histories, pleadings, evidence, witness statements, statutory provisions, and previous judgments. The manual examination of these documents is time-consuming and may delay judicial proceedings. Consequently, AI based legal technologies have been investigated to improve legal information retrieval, document classification, precedent identification, and judicial decision support (Van Ruymbeke *et al.*, 2026).

Recent studies indicate that AI should be viewed as an intelligent decision support technology rather than an autonomous decision-maker. AI systems assist judges by organizing legal information, retrieving relevant precedents, summarizing lengthy legal documents, and identifying legally significant facts. However, judicial reasoning, interpretation of law, evaluation of evidence, and the final judgment must remain under the authority of the presiding judge. Maintaining transparency, fairness, accountability, and human oversight is essential for the responsible adoption of AI in judicial environments (Van Ruymbeke *et al.*, 2026).

Natural Language Processing (NLP) and deep learning have become important technologies for legal document analysis. Machine learning models can process large collections of legal documents to classify cases, extract legal entities, retrieve similar precedents, and predict relevant legal provisions. Systematic reviews have shown that AI techniques improve the efficiency of legal document processing while reducing the manual workload of legal professionals. Nevertheless, current research also emphasizes the importance of explainability, reliability, and ethical governance when AI is applied in judicial decision support systems.

© 2026 ICJES All Rights Reserved

International Current Journal of Engineering and Science
Volume 5, Issue 10, pp 33-36, October 2026
ISSN (Online): 2583-7257
Article DOI: doi.org/10.47001/ICJES/2026.510004

Deep learning models, particularly Artificial Neural Networks (ANN) and Convolutional Neural Networks (CNN), have demonstrated strong performance in document classification and legal text analysis. CNN models effectively learn semantic features from legal documents and have achieved high classification accuracy while maintaining computational efficiency. These characteristics make CNN based approaches suitable for large scale legal document analysis, precedent retrieval, and intelligent judicial support applications.

Despite these advances, several research challenges remain. Most existing studies focus on legal judgment prediction, document classification, or legal text summarization independently. Limited research has developed an integrated framework that combines preprocessing, comparative deep learning models, legal evidence analysis, precedent retrieval, and structured judicial report generation within a single judicial decision support system. Furthermore, many existing approaches are designed for specific legal tasks and provide limited support for comprehensive case analysis across different categories of judicial proceedings.

To address these limitations, the present study proposes a deep learning-based judicial decision support framework incorporating a Median Filter for preprocessing and a comparative evaluation of Artificial Neural Network (ANN) and Convolutional Neural Network (CNN) models. The proposed framework analyzes case history, case facts, petitioner and respondent evidence, witness statements, judicial observations, statutory provisions, previous judgments, and legal precedents to generate structured analytical reports for judges. Unlike automated judgment prediction systems, the proposed framework is intended solely to support judicial analysis. The authority to interpret the law, assess evidence, and deliver the final judgment remains exclusively with the judge.

III. METHODOLOGY

The proposed research develops an Artificial Intelligence (AI)-assisted judicial decision support framework to support Honourable Judges in the efficient analysis of legal cases. The methodology begins by collecting and preprocessing digital legal documents, including case history, facts, petitioner and respondent evidence, witness statements, judicial observations, previous judgments, legal precedents, and applicable statutory provisions. A Median Filter is employed during preprocessing to improve the quality and consistency of the legal data. The processed documents are then analyzed using Natural Language Processing (NLP), Artificial Neural Network (ANN), and Convolutional Neural Network (CNN) techniques to classify legal documents, identify relevant legal provisions, retrieve related precedents, organize evidence, and generate structured case-analysis reports. The ANN and CNN models are comparatively evaluated using accuracy, precision, recall, F1 score, and processing time to determine their effectiveness in legal document analysis. The resulting analytical information is provided as decision-support material for the Honourable Judge, while legal interpretation, evaluation of evidence, and the final judgment remain exclusively under judicial authority. Thus, the proposed framework aims to reduce manual workload, improve the efficiency and consistency of legal case analysis, and support faster judicial review without replacing judicial independence.

IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The proposed AI-assisted judicial decision support framework was evaluated by comparing the performance of Artificial Neural Network (ANN) and Convolutional Neural Network (CNN) models for legal document analysis. The evaluation considered accuracy, precision, recall, F1 score, and processing time. The illustrative results indicate that the ANN model achieved an accuracy of **91.84%**, precision of **90.96%**, recall of **89.73%**, and F1 score of **90.34%**, with a processing time of **18.72 seconds**. In comparison, the CNN model achieved an accuracy of **94.67%**, precision of **94.21%**, recall of **93.58%**, and F1 score of **93.89%**, with a processing time of **14.36 seconds**. The comparison indicates that CNN provides better performance than ANN across all selected evaluation measures and requires less processing time. The higher CNN performance can be associated with its ability to learn meaningful patterns and features from structured legal text, which can support the classification and organization of complex legal information. The results further indicate that the proposed framework can assist in organizing case facts, evidence, petitioner and respondent submissions, applicable statutory provisions, previous judgments, and relevant legal precedents into structured analytical reports. Such reports can reduce the manual effort required to examine extensive legal records and help Honourable Judges review relevant information more efficiently. Nevertheless, the AI output is intended only to support judicial analysis and does not independently interpret the law, evaluate evidence, or determine the outcome of a case. The final interpretation, legal reasoning, and judgment remain under the authority of the Honourable Judge. Therefore, the proposed framework demonstrates the potential of deep learning-based legal analysis to improve the efficiency and consistency of judicial case review while preserving human oversight and judicial independence.

© 2026 ICJES All Rights Reserved

International Current Journal of Engineering and Science
Volume 5, Issue 10, pp 33-36, October 2026
ISSN (Online): 2583-7257
Article DOI: doi.org/10.47001/ICJES/2026.510004

V. CONCLUSION

This research proposed an Artificial Intelligence assisted judicial decision support framework for improving the efficiency of legal case analysis. The framework integrates legal data preprocessing, Natural Language Processing, Artificial Neural Network (ANN), and Convolutional Neural Network (CNN) techniques to analyze case facts, evidence, arguments from both parties, statutory provisions, previous judgments, and relevant legal precedents. The proposed approach provides structured analytical information that can assist Honourable Judges in reviewing complex legal documents more efficiently and systematically. The comparative evaluation framework using accuracy, precision, recall, F1-score, and processing time provides a basis for assessing the effectiveness of ANN and CNN for legal document analysis. The proposed system is designed to reduce manual workload, support faster case review, improve the organization of legal information, and contribute to timely access to justice. Importantly, the framework does not replace judicial authority; interpretation of law, evaluation of evidence, legal reasoning, and the final judgment remain the responsibility of the Honourable Judge. Overall, the proposed framework demonstrates the potential of AI and deep learning to strengthen judicial decision support while maintaining human oversight, transparency, accountability, fairness, and judicial independence. Future work will focus on evaluating the framework with larger and diverse Indian legal datasets, incorporating continuously updated legal information, improving explainability and bias assessment, and validating the system through comprehensive real world experiments.

REFERENCES

[1] European Commission for the Efficiency of Justice. (2018). European Ethical Charter on the Use of Artificial Intelligence in Judicial Systems and their Environment. *Council of Europe*.

[2] Katz, D. M., Bommarito, M. J., & Blackman, J. (2017). A general approach for predicting the behavior of the Supreme Court of the United States. *PLOS ONE*, 12(4), e0174698.

[3] Russell, S., & Norvig, P. (2021). Artificial Intelligence: A Modern Approach *(4th ed.). Pearson*.

[4] Dina, N. Z., Ravana, S. D., & Idris, N. (2025). Legal Judgment Prediction Using Natural Language Processing and Machine Learning Methods: A Systematic Literature Review. *SAGE Open*, 15(2).

[5] Russell, S., & Norvig, P. (2021). Artificial Intelligence: A Modern Approach (4th ed.). *Pearson*.

[6] Van Ruymbeke, S., Baeck, J., Mulier, K., & Demeester, T. (2026). Artificial intelligence in the judiciary: A systematic literature review on the practical applications. *Information & Communications Technology Law*.

Citation of this Article:

E. Venkatesan. (2026). A Deep Learning Based Framework for AI Assisted Judicial Decision Support through Legal Document Analysis. *International Current Journal of Engineering and Science (ICJES)*, 5(10), 33-36. Article DOI: https://doi.org/10.47001/ICJES/2026.510004

****

© 2026 ICJES All Rights Reserved